

Fish spoilage bacteria – problems and solutions

Lone Gram* and Paw Dalgaard†

Microorganisms are the major cause of spoilage of most seafood products. However, only a few members of the microbial community, the specific spoilage organisms (SSOs), give rise to the offensive off-flavours associated with seafood spoilage. Combining microbial ecology, molecular techniques, analytical chemistry, sensory analysis and mathematical modelling allows us to characterise the SSOs and to develop methods to determine, predict and extend the shelf life of products.

Addresses

Department of Seafood Research, Danish Institute for Fisheries Research, Søtofts Plads, c/o Technical University of Denmark bldg 221, DK-2800 Kgs Lyngby, Denmark

*e-mail: gram@dfu.min.dk

†e-mail: pad@dfu.min.dk

Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2002, 13:XXX–XXX

0958-1669/02/\$ – see front matter

© 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd All rights reserved.

DOI 10.1016/S0958-1669(02)00309-9

Abbreviations

AHL	acylated homoserine lactone
LAB	lactic acid bacteria
SSO	specific spoilage organism
TMA	trimethylamine
TMAO	trimethylamine oxide

Introduction

The growth and metabolism of microorganisms is crucial for the turnover of organic and inorganic matter in all ecosystems. In foods, microbial degradation may manifest itself as spoilage, that is, changes in the sensory properties of a food product rendering it unsuitable for human consumption. Spoilage of foods can be caused by both chemical reactions and physical damage. However, the major cause of food spoilage is microbial growth and metabolism resulting in the formation of amines, sulfides, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, and organic acids with unpleasant and unacceptable off-flavours. Microbial spoilage may also be detectable as a discolouration or slime, or might simply be identified by the appearance of colonies. The term ‘unacceptable’ when applied to food spoilage is product-specific — for example, ammonia odours are part of a desirable odour profile in some dried and fermented fish products, but are not acceptable in most fresh and lightly preserved seafoods.

Microbial food spoilage is an area of global concern as it has been estimated that as much as 25% of all food produced is lost post-harvest owing to microbial activity [1]. An improved science-based understanding of the growth and activity of spoilage microorganisms in seafood and other foods is crucial for the development of preservation techniques and subsequent reduction of losses due to spoilage. This review will focus on the microbial ecology of seafood spoilage and describe the specific spoilage organism concept.

Microbial ecology of seafood groups

Foods are dynamic systems in which changes occur in pH, atmosphere, nutrient composition and microflora over time. Each food product has its own unique flora, determined by the raw materials used, food processing parameters and subsequent storage conditions. Despite the variation in composition of the microflora on newly caught fish, seafood products can be categorised into groups with similar microbial ecology [2**]. During storage, the microflora changes owing to different abilities of the microorganisms to tolerate the preservation conditions. Gram-negative, fermentative bacteria (such as *Vibrionaceae*) spoil unpreserved fish, whereas psychrotolerant Gram-negative bacteria (*Pseudomonas* spp. and *Shewanella* spp) grow on chilled fish [2**]. CO₂ packing inhibits respiratory organisms and selects for *Photobacterium phosphoreum* and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) [3**]. Respiratory Gram-negative bacteria are typically inhibited in fish products preserved by the addition of low levels of NaCl, a slight acidification and chill storage in vacuum-packs (e.g. cold-smoked fish). Under these conditions, the microflora typically becomes dominated by LAB (*Lactobacillus* and *Carnobacterium*) with an association of Gram-negative fermentative bacteria such as *P. phosphoreum* and psychrotrophic Enterobacteriaceae [4–6]. Increasing the ‘preservation pressure’, for example, by acidification or the addition of preservatives like sorbate and benzoate, as in the so-called semipreserved seafood (e.g. marinated herring), allows for growth of lactobacilli and yeasts. Drying or heavily dry-salting of fish eliminates bacterial growth and these products will spoil due to growth of filamentous fungi or insect infestation. Yeasts may grow in heavily wet-salted fish (e.g. barrel-salted herring). Several fish products are subjected to a mild heat treatment (equalling pasteurisation) and spore-forming bacteria (*Clostridium* or *Bacillus*) may grow in such products, particularly if unsalted (e.g. products cooked in vacuum pouches, i.e. *sous vide* products) [7].

Specific spoilage organism (SSO) concept

While early studies of seafood microbiology acknowledged that only part of the spoilage microflora participated in the spoilage process [8–10], the recent establishment of the specific spoilage organism (SSO) concept [11] has contributed significantly to our understanding of seafood spoilage. The SSOs are typically present in low numbers and constitute only a very small fraction of the microflora on newly processed seafood. Different SSOs are found in different seafoods and may be a single species (Table 1). Identification of an SSO relies on comparison of the sensory and chemical characteristics of spoiled products with those of isolates from the spoilage microflora. The qualitative ability to produce off-odours (spoilage potential) and the quantitative ability to produce spoilage metabolites (spoilage activity) are essential in the identification of an

Table 1

Examples of specific spoilage organisms of seafood products.

Product	Specific spoilage organism
Iced marine fish	<i>Shewanella putrefaciens</i>
Iced freshwater fish	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.
CO ₂ -packed chilled fish	<i>Photobacterium phosphoreum</i>

SSO. Quantitative comparison of the yield factor for production of trimethylamine (TMA), biogenic amines or volatile amines in products and by bacterial isolates grown in model substrates has been useful for the identification of SSOs [5,11,12]. Comparison of the chemical profiles of spoiled seafoods and of the metabolites produced by potential spoilage organisms has only been used to a limited extent in identification of SSOs. This approach, which should involve the use of multivariate statistical methods for pattern matching, deserves further study. The spoilage domain has been defined as the range of conditions (pH, temperature, water activity and atmosphere) under which an SSO can grow and produce spoilage metabolites. The identification of SSOs and their spoilage domains has substantially facilitated the development of methods to determine, predict and extend the shelf life of seafood. This concept [3**] is also applicable to other foods where spoilage is caused by microbial activity.

Microbial metabolites and seafood spoilage

Fish contains little carbohydrate but typically has a high content of free amino acids. Many fish species contain trimethylamine oxide (TMAO). The seafood SSOs produce ammonia, biogenic amines, organic acids and sulfur compounds from amino acids, hypoxanthine from ATP degradation products, and acetate from lactate. TMA is produced by some bacteria capable of using TMAO in anaerobic respiration [13–18]. Many microbial metabolites produced in seafood are similar to those observed in meat and poultry products [19**,20]; however, in seafood spoilage, TMA in particular contributes to the characteristic ammonia-like and ‘fishy’ off-flavours. *Aeromonas* spp., psychrotolerant Enterobacteriaceae, *P. phosphoreum*, *Shewanella putrefaciens*-like organisms and *Vibrio* spp. can all reduce TMAO to TMA.

Some spoilage metabolites can be used as quality indices. Compared with microbiological methods, which are slow, chemical analyses may be significantly faster; however, for some compounds measurable concentrations are not present until close to spoilage. Classical single-compound quality index (SCQI) for seafood includes measurements of total volatile nitrogen (TVN), TMA and hypoxanthine. Ratios between ATP degradation products (K values) and biogenic amines have also been used for some time as quality indices [3**]. Multiple-compound quality indices (MCQI), in which combinations of several metabolites are identified by statistical methods, have recently been introduced and correlate better with sensory properties and/or shelf life in some products [18,21,22]. This new combination of

sophisticated chemical analyses, sensory assessment and multivariate statistics will be an important area in food spoilage research in the years to come.

Taxonomy and identification of spoilage bacteria

It is possible to culture the spoilage flora of fish and no examples have been reported where ‘unculturable’ bacteria have had any importance in seafood spoilage [23]. Comparisons of direct microscopy and culturable counts have yielded similar total numbers of cells [24]. Identification of the microflora has mostly relied on classical, simple phenotypic tests, and comparison of the phenotypic identification with that obtained by 16S rDNA gene sequencing has shown good agreement [25]. *Shewanella putrefaciens* has been named after James M Shewan who worked on fish spoilage over several decades [26]. Mesophilic, halotolerant strains are now recognized as *Shewanella algae* [27] and the psychrotolerant group has been split into *Shewanella putrefaciens* and *Shewanella baltica* [28]. Bioluminescent or non-luminous strains of *P. phosphoreum* involved in seafood spoilage can be identified by simple biochemical tests [29]. Biochemical identification of *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Carnobacterium* spp. can be problematic, but sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) of whole-cell proteins is valuable for identification of LAB at the species level [30]. Little work has been done on taxonomy of yeasts and filamentous fungi involved in fish spoilage.

Methods for detecting SSOs

It has been shown in many seafood products that numbers of SSOs can be used to predict remaining product shelf life, there is, therefore, a great interest in detection methods specifically for these bacteria. Several elegant detection methods have been designed using the spoilage reaction of a particular organism as indicative/selective criteria [31]. These include agar-media for the detection of H₂S-producing *S. putrefaciens*-like bacteria, and conductance-based incubation methods relying on TMAO reduction (e.g. by *P. phosphoreum* [32]). Furthermore, pseudomonads can be enumerated in fresh fish by impedance measurements [12]. To date, no specific agar media exists for the detection of spoilage LAB or Enterobacteriaceae.

Specific methods for the detection of SSOs should be able to detect as little as 10² SSO/g of products to be useful in shelf life prediction. Thus, the sensitivity of immuno-based methods (10⁶–10⁷ SSO/g) [33] is not sufficient to allow for practical use. Similar concerns may be raised in

relation to 16S rRNA-probe-based methods, where the limitation will be the sensitivity of the fluorescence microscope. Specific probes have been developed for *S. putrefaciens* [34], but have not been tested in seafoods. A polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based detection method has been developed for *S. putrefaciens* to differentiate between this organism and *S. algae* [35]. The PCR technique is likely to find use in the future, as polymerase-inhibiting compounds can be removed and inter-laboratory reproducibility increased. Incubation and agar-based detection methods will, owing to their sensitivity, be the most important detection methods for some time to come.

Interactions between spoilage bacteria

Spoilage bacteria are selected primarily as a result of the physical and chemical conditions in the products; however, seafood spoilage obviously involves growth of the microorganisms to high numbers ($>10^6$ – 10^7 cfu/g) and the interaction (antagonism or symbiosis) between different groups of microorganisms may influence their growth and metabolism. Despite the nutrient richness of fish muscle, the environment is iron-limited and siderophores are produced during bacterial growth. The high iron-binding capacity of the pseudomonad siderophores may cause this bacterial group to be positively selected for [36]. LAB inhibit growth of other bacteria due to the formation of lactic acid and bacteriocins or by competition for nutrients and this may contribute to their selection during spoilage of lightly preserved seafood products. Lactobacilli and Enterobacteriaceae might interact during spoilage of lightly preserved fish products. LAB may degrade arginine (to ornithine), which is then degraded by the Enterobacteriaceae to putrescine. This results in 10–15-fold higher levels of putrescine than produced by Enterobacteriaceae growing alone in the absence of LAB [5]. A final example of the interactive properties of food spoiling bacteria is the ability of several food spoiling Gram-negative bacteria to produce chemical communication signals, acylated homoserine lactones (AHLs) [37**]. We have recently shown that AHLs can be extracted from a range of foods (fish, meat and vegetable products) [38*], and the concentration increases as growth of Gram-negative bacteria takes place. The role of AHLs in (sea)food spoilage is currently unknown, but several phenotypes (pectinolytic, lipolytic, proteolytic and chitinolytic activities) potentially involved in spoilage of different foods have been linked to AHL regulation in several bacteria (AB Christensen *et al.*, personal communication). AHLs can be extracted from fish fillets and minced fish at point of spoilage and are produced by several important seafood spoilage bacteria. Elucidation of the role of AHLs in (sea)food spoilage will be an important area for future research.

Predicting spoilage and shelf life of seafood

An exciting area for use of the SSO concept is in the ability to use mathematical models that quantitatively describe growth of SSOs to predict the shelf life of seafood. Models for the growth of *Brochothrix thermosphacta*, *P. phosphoreum*,

Pseudomonas spp. and *S. putrefaciens* have been successfully validated for shelf-life prediction of different aerobically stored and CO₂-packed fresh fish [12,39*,40]. In addition, stochastic models that take into account the distribution of spoilage bacteria on products and the storage temperature have been developed for shelf-life prediction of fresh aerobically stored fish [41,42]. Several, successfully validated models for the growth of SSOs have been included in application software and this has facilitated prediction of seafood shelf life under constant and dynamic temperature storage conditions [43]. A simple model to predict interactions between groups of bacteria growing on seafood was also recently suggested [44]. The construction of models to predict the development of microbial spoilage associations in new formulations of lightly preserved seafood remains an important challenge in the field of seafood microbiology.

New preservation strategies – targeted inhibition of spoilage bacteria

The spoilage of some seafoods is well understood and this understanding has enabled the development of preservation techniques targeted at the SSO. An example of this is the CO₂-packaging of fresh, marine, iced fish. This inhibits the respiratory spoilage bacteria (*Shewanella* and *Pseudomonas*) and should, in principle, result in a dramatic extension of shelf life. However, because of the presence of the CO₂-resistant, TMAO-reducing *P. phosphoreum*, the product spoils almost at the same rate as non-CO₂-packed fillets. Targeted inhibition of *P. phosphoreum* (e.g. by freezing or the addition of spices) reduces its growth and results in a significant extension of shelf life [45,46]. Non-spoilage LAB or pure bacteriocins have been used to extend the shelf life of brined shrimp which, if unpreserved, spoil due to growth of spoilage LAB [47]. The possible involvement of AHL regulation in the spoilage of some foods also opens a new field of food preservation. Although until recently preservation has relied on the elimination (killing) or growth inhibition of spoilage organisms, AHL-regulated traits can be specifically blocked (e.g. by the *Delisea pulchra* halogenated furanones [48,49]). This ‘quorum-sensing interference’ will not necessarily inhibit growth but will, in principle, only block the unwanted spoilage reactions; for example, the export of enzymes involved in the spoilage process.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Some aspects of seafood spoilage have been thoroughly studied and are well understood, in particular, the spoilage of products where only one SSO is involved. The spoilage of seafood products, such as lightly preserved products, where a complex microflora may interact is only partially elucidated. This and the development of predictive shelf-life models of such products are areas for future research. Furthermore, research must be undertaken to develop specific and fast detection methods for SSOs of different seafoods. The SSO concept allows the development of preservation methods targeted only at spoilage microorganisms and this concept should have parallels in other areas of food microbiology. In many situations food

microbiology has been viewed as a rather static field of research, relying heavily on 'total counts' or the presence of a particular pathogen in food commodities. When studied by combinations of microbial growth and metabolism kinetics, molecular techniques, analytical chemistry, sensory assessment and mathematical modelling, we see the dynamics in food microbiology as being as exciting and complex a research field as other areas of microbial ecology.

Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues from the Microbiology Section at the Danish Institute for Fisheries Research (DIFRES) who were involved in many of the studies that form the basis of the present paper, and Hans Henrik Huss for critical comments.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 - of outstanding interest
1. Baird-Parker TC: **The production of microbiologically safe and stable foods.** In *The Microbiological Safety and Quality of Food*. Edited by Lund BM, Baird-Parker TC, Gould GW. Gaithersburg: Aspen Publishers, Inc.; 2000:3-18.
 2. Gram L, Huss HH: **Fresh and processed fish and shellfish.** In *The Microbiological Safety and Quality of Foods*, edn 1. Edited by Lund BM, Baird-Parker AC, Gould GW. London: Chapman & Hall; 2000:472-506.
- This review chapter gives a complete overview of the microbial ecology of seafood products, including pathogenic agents causing seafood-borne disease, and spoilage organisms of different seafood commodity groups.
3. Dalgaard P: **Fresh and lightly preserved seafood.** In *Shelf-Life Evaluation of Foods*, edn 2. Edited by Man CMD, Jones AA. London: Aspen Publishers, Inc.; 2000:110-139.
- This book chapter describes the SSO concept and how it has been used to determine, predict and extend the shelf-life of seafood.
4. Truelstrup Hansen L, Gill T, Huss HH: **Effects of salt and storage-temperature on chemical, microbiological and sensory changes in cold-smoked salmon.** *Food Res Int* 1995, **28**:123-130.
 5. Jørgensen LV, Huss HH, Dalgaard P: **The effect of biogenic amine production by single bacterial cultures and metabolism on cold-smoked salmon.** *J Appl Microbiol* 2000, **89**:920-934.
 6. Leroi F, Joffraud JJ, Chevalier F, Cardinal M: **Study of the microbial ecology of cold-smoked salmon during storage at 8°C.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 1998, **39**:111-121.
 7. Ben Embarek K: **Microbiological safety and spoilage of sous vide fish products.** PhD Thesis. Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Frederiksberg, Denmark; 1994.
 8. Huss HH, Dalsgaard D, Hansen L, Ladefoged H, Pedersen A, Zittan L: **The influence of hygiene in catch handling on the storage life of iced cod and plaice.** *J Food Technol* 1974, **9**:213-221.
 9. Castell CH, Anderson GW: **Bacteria associated with spoilage of cod fillets.** *J Fish Res Board Canada* 1948, **7**:370-377.
 10. Lerke P, Farber L, Adams R: **Bacteriology of spoilage of fish muscle: IV. Role of protein.** *Appl Microbiol* 1967, **15**:770-776.
 11. Dalgaard P: **Qualitative and quantitative characterization of spoilage bacteria from packed fish.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 1995, **26**:319-333.
 12. Koutsoumanis K, Nychas GJE: **Application of a systematic experimental procedure to develop a microbial model for rapid fish shelf life prediction.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 2000, **60**:171-184.
 13. Shewan JM: **The bacteriology of fresh and spoiling fish and the biochemical changes induced by bacterial action.** In *Proceedings of the Conference on Handling, Processing and Marketing of Tropical Fish*. London: Tropical Products Institute; 1977:51-66.
 14. Chinivasagam HN, Bremner HA, Wood AF, Nottingham SM: **Volatile compounds associated with bacterial spoilage of tropical prawns.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 1998, **42**:45-55.
 15. Ólafsdóttir G, Fleurence J: **Evaluation of fish freshness using volatile compounds – classification of volatile compounds in fish.** In *Methods to Determine the Freshness of Fish in Research and Industry*. Edited by Ólafsdóttir G et al. Paris, France: International Institute of Refrigeration; 1998:55-69.
 16. Koutsoumanis K, Nychas GJE: **Chemical and sensory changes associated with microbial flora of Mediterranean boque (Boops boops) stored aerobically at 0, 3, 7 and 10°C.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999, **65**:698-706.
 17. Joffraud JJ, Leroi F, Roy C, Berdagué JL: **Characterization of volatile compounds produced by bacteria isolated from the spoilage flora of cold-smoked salmon.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 2001, **66**:175-184.
 18. Jørgensen LV, Huss HH, Dalgaard P: **Significance of volatile compounds produced by spoilage bacteria in vacuum-packed cold-smoked salmon (*Salmo salar*) analysed by GC-MS and multivariate regression.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2001, **49**:2376-2381.
 19. Dainty RH, Mackey BM: **The relationship between the phenotypic properties of bacteria from chill-stored meat and spoilage processes.** *J Appl Bacteriol* 1992, **73**:103S-114S.
- Microbial spoilage ecology of fresh and packed meats is described. The paper includes a very good and detailed outline of microbial spoilage metabolites of these products. Concepts and ideas apply to all food products alike.
20. Nychas GJE, Drosinos EH, Board RG: **Chemical changes in stored meat.** In *Microbiology of Meat and Poultry*. Edited by Board RG, Davies A. London, England: Blackie Academic & Professional; 1998:288-326.
 21. Leroi F, Joffraud JJ, Chevalier F, Cardinal M: **Research of quality indices for cold-smoked salmon using a stepwise multiple regression of microbiological counts and physico-chemical parameters.** *J Appl Microbiol* 2001, **90**:578-587.
 22. Jørgensen LV, Dalgaard P, Huss HH: **Multiple compound quality index for cold-smoked salmon (*Salmo salar*) developed by multivariate regression of biogenic amines and pH.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2000, **48**:2448-2453.
 23. Cambon-Bonavita MA, Lesongeur F, Menoux S, Lebourg A, Barbier G: **Microbial diversity in smoked salmon examined by a culture-independent molecular approach – a preliminary study.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 2001, **70**:179-187.
 24. Dalgaard P, Gram L, Huss HH: **Spoilage and shelf life of cod fillets packed in vacuum or modified atmosphere.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 1993, **19**:283-294.
 25. Spanggaard B, Huber I, Nielsen J, Appel KF, Nielsen TF, Gram L: **The microflora of rainbow trout intestine: a comparison of traditional and molecular identification.** *Aquaculture* 2000, **182**:1-16.
 26. MacDonnel MT, Colwell RR: **Phylogeny of the Vibrionaceae and recommendation for two new genera, *Listonella* and *Shewanella*.** *Syst Appl Bacteriol* 1985, **6**:171-182.
 27. Fønnesbech Vogel B, Jørgensen K, Christensen H, Olsen JE, Gram L: **Differentiation of *Shewanella putrefaciens* and *Shewanella alga* on the basis of whole-cell protein profiles, ribotyping, phenotypic characterization and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997, **63**:2189-2199.
 28. Ziemke F, Höfle MG, Lalucat J, Rossello-Mora R: **Reclassification of *Shewanella putrefaciens* Owen's genomic group II as *Shewanella baltica* sp. nov.** *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1998, **48**:179-186.
 29. Dalgaard P, Manfio GP, Goodfellow M: **Classification of Photobacteria associated with spoilage of fish products by numerical taxonomy and pyrolysis mass spectrometry.** *Zentralbl Bakteriol* 1997, **285**:157-168.
 30. Pot B, Ludwig W, Kersters K, Schleifer K-H: **Taxonomy of lactic acid bacteria.** In *Bacteriocins of Lactic Acid Bacteria*. Edited by de Vuyst L, Vandamme EJ. New York: Blackie Academic & Professional; 1994:13-89.
 31. Gram L: **Evaluation of the bacteriological quality of seafood.** *Int J Food Microbiol* 1992, **16**:25-39.
 32. Dalgaard P, Mejllholm O, Huss HH: **Conductance method for quantitative determination of *Photobacterium phosphoreum* in fish products.** *J Appl Bacteriol* 1996, **81**:57-64.
 33. Fønnesbech B, Frøkjær H, Gram L, Jespersen CM: **Production and specificities of poly- and monoclonal antibodies against *Shewanella putrefaciens*.** *J Appl Bacteriol* 1993, **74**:444-451.

34. DiChristina TJ, DeLong EF: **Design and application of ribosomal-RNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes for the dissimilatory iron-reducing and manganese-reducing bacterium *Shewanella putrefaciens***. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1993, **59**:4152-4160.
35. Fønnesbech Vogel B, Christensen H, Gram L: **Differentiation of *Shewanella putrefaciens* and *Shewanella algae* by polymerase chain reaction**. Poster presented at *The 98th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology*: 1998 May 17–21; Atlanta, USA.
36. Gram L, Melchiorson J: **Interaction between fish spoilage bacteria *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Shewanella putrefaciens* in fish extracts and on fish tissue**. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1996, **80**:589-595.
37. Gram L, Christensen AB, Ravn L, Molin S, Givskov M: **Production of acylated homoserine lactones by psychrotrophic members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from foods**. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999, **65**:3458-3463.
- The first scientific publication reporting that acylated homoserine lactones can be isolated from naturally contaminated foods and that a significant proportion of the Gram-negative bacteria on those foods produce AHL compounds.
38. Gram L, Ravn L, Rasch M, Bruhn JB, Christensen AB, Givskov M: **Food spoilage – interactions between food spoilage bacteria**. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2002, in press.
- A review describing three examples of microbial interactions important in food spoilage: antagonism, metabiosis and AHL communication. Notably, the review reports the widespread occurrence of AHLs in many food commodities.
39. Dalgaard P, Mejlholm O, Huss HH: **Application of an iterative approach for development of a microbial model predicting the shelf-life of packed fish**. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1997, **38**:169-179.
- Presentation of an approach where growth of an SSO in liquid media is used to develop models for accurate shelf life prediction of seafood.
40. Koutsoumanis K: **Predictive modeling of the shelf life of fish under nonisothermal conditions**. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2001, **67**:1821-1829.
41. Giannakourou MC, Koutsoumanis K, Nychas GJE, Taoukis PS: **Development and assessment of an intelligent shelf life decision system for quality optimization of the food chill chain**. *J Food Prot* 2001, **64**:1051-1057.
42. Rasmussen SKJ, Ross T, Olley J, McMeekin T: **A process risk model for the shelf-life of Atlantic salmon fillets**. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2002, **73**:47-60.
43. Dalgaard P, Buch P, Silberg S: **Seafood spoilage predictor – development and distribution of a product-specific application software**. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2002, **73**: 343-349.
44. Dalgaard P, Murillo E, Jørgensen LV: **Modelling the effect of temperature on shelf-life and on the interaction between the spoilage microflora and *Listeria monocytogenes* in cold-smoked salmon**. *Proceedings of the 46th Atlantic Fisheries Technology Conference: August 27–29 2001*, Rimouski, Canada.
45. Guldager HS, Bøknæs N, Østerberg C, Nielsen J, Dalgaard P: **Thawed cod fillets spoil less rapidly than unfrozen fillets when stored under modified atmosphere at 2°C**. *J Food Prot* 1998, **61**:1129-1136.
46. Mejlholm O, Dalgaard P: **Antimicrobial effect of essential oils on the seafood spoilage microorganism *Photobacterium phosphoreum* in liquid media and fish products**. *Letts Microbiol* 2002, **34**:27-31.
47. Einarsson H, Lauzon HL: **Biopreservation of brined shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) by bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria**. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1995, **61**:669-676.
48. Givskov M, deNys R, Manefield M, Gram L, Maximilien R, Eberl L, Molin S, Steinberg PD, Kjelleberg S: **Eucaryotic interference with homoserine lactone mediated prokaryotic signalling**. *J Bacteriol* 1996, **178**:6618-6622.
49. Kjelleberg S, Steinberg P, Givskov M, Gram L, Manefield M, Maximilien R, de Nys R: **Do marine natural products interfere with prokaryotic AHL regulatory systems?** *Aquat Microb Ecol* 1997, **13**:85-93.