

# Accepted Manuscript

Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, ochratoxin A and citrinin in Croatian fermented meat products

Ksenija Markov, Jelka Pleadin, Martina Bevardi, Nada Vahčić, Darja Sokolić-Mihalak, Frane Delaš, Jadranka Frece

PII: S0956-7135(13)00243-0

DOI: [10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.05.002)

Reference: JFCO 3267

To appear in: *Food Control*

Received Date: 1 March 2013

Revised Date: 26 April 2013

Accepted Date: 4 May 2013

Please cite this article as: MarkovK., PleadinJ., BevardiM., VahčićN., Sokolić-MihalakD., DelašF. & FreceJ., Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, ochratoxin A and citrinin in Croatian fermented meat products, *Food Control* (2013), doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.05.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, ochratoxin A and citrinin in Croatian fermented meat products

### Highlights

Game and semi-dry sausages and fermented dry-meat products were analyzed for mould and mycotoxin contamination.

The isolated fungi were of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* genera.

All of the analyzed meat products were predominantly OTA-contaminated.

Some of the samples were co-contaminated with AFB<sub>1</sub>, OTA and CIT.

1 **Natural occurrence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, ochratoxin A and citrinin in Croatian**  
2 **fermented meat products**

3  
4 Ksenija Markov<sup>a</sup>, Jelka Pleadin<sup>b</sup>, Martina Bevardi<sup>c</sup>, Nada Vahčić<sup>a</sup>, Darja Sokolić-Mihalak<sup>d</sup>,  
5 Frane Delaš<sup>a</sup>, Jadranka Frece<sup>a\*</sup>  
6

7 <sup>a</sup>Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology

8 Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
9

10 <sup>b</sup>Croatian Veterinary Institute, Laboratory for Analytical Chemistry

11 Savska 143, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
12

13 <sup>c</sup>Dr. Andrija Štampar Institute of Public Health, Mirogojska St. 16

14 10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
15

16 <sup>d</sup>Croatian Food Agency, I. Gundulića 36b, 31 000 Osijek, Croatia  
17

18 Corresponding author: Tel: +38514605284, Fax: +385 1 4836424  
19

20 E-mail address: [jgoreta@pbf.hr](mailto:jgoreta@pbf.hr) (Jadranka Frece)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 Abstract

35 When domestic animals are exposed to mycotoxins, significant amounts of the latter shall be  
36 carried over into animal products such as milk, eggs and meat. This study was carried out in  
37 order to determine the possible presence of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), ochratoxin A (OTA) and  
38 citrinin (CIT) in game sausages (n=15), semi-dry sausages (n=25) and fermented dry-meat  
39 products (n=50), randomly taken from individual producers and the Croatian market. AFB<sub>1</sub>  
40 and OTA were quantified using ELISA, while CIT was quantified using HPLC- fluorescence  
41 detector. Out of 90 samples, the fungi most frequently isolated from dry-cured meat products  
42 were of *Penicillium* species, while *Aspergillus* was isolated from only one sample. As much  
43 as 68.88% of the samples were positive for mycotoxins. Finally, the analysis of different types  
44 of meat products resulted in OTA identification in 64.44%, CIT identification in 4.44% and  
45 AFB<sub>1</sub> identification in 10% of the samples. The maximum OTA concentrations established in  
46 the commercial sausage samples equalled to 7.83 µg/kg, while that of AFB<sub>1</sub> amounted to 3.0  
47 µg/kg. Generally, although OTA was detected in all three types of products in different  
48 percentage shares, mutual differences were not statistically significant (P>0.05).

49

50 *Keywords:* Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, Ochratoxin A, Citrinin, Fermented meat products, Croatia

51

52

## 53 1. Introduction

54

55 The presence of mycotoxins in food and feed depends on many biological factors,  
56 such as the region of origin, season, humidity and temperature, and the conditions under  
57 which the crops are harvested, stored and processed. When not controlled, these toxins can be  
58 transferred onto animals and humans through the ingestion of contaminated feed and food  
59 (Dashti, Al-Hamli, Alomirah, Al-Zenki, Abbas, & Sawaya, 2009). Given the fact that it has  
60 been proven that in cows fed on contaminated feed aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> gets to be converted into  
61 aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>, known as the “milk toxin” and subsequently excreted into the milk of lactating  
62 cows, concerns about the entry of mycotoxins into the food chain through meat, eggs, milk  
63 and dairy products have been raised (carryover effects) (Allcroft, & Carnaghan, 1963;  
64 Kamkar, 2006; Markov, Frece, Čvek, Lovrić, & Delaš, 2010; Iha, Barbosa, & Okada, 2011).  
65 Therefore, maximum allowed or at least maximum recommended levels set for mycotoxins in  
66 meats and/or other animal products would perhaps be of value.

67           However, in some European countries regulatory values or recommendations have  
68 been established only for aflatoxins and ochratoxin A, and only for some foodstuffs of an  
69 animal origin, while for other mycotoxins the risk management has been based on the control  
70 of contamination of food of a vegetal origin intended for both human and animal  
71 consumption.

72           Long-term research done in Croatia has indicated that mycotoxins frequently  
73 contaminate cereals (Pleadin, Sokolović, Perši, Zadavec, Jaki, & Vulić, 2012; Pleadin,  
74 Vahčić, Perši, Ševelj, Markov, & Frece, 2013), so that a systematic control of mycotoxins in  
75 food and feed is necessary in order to avoid negative health effects, as well as economic  
76 losses that might arise in the agricultural sector on the grounds of the aforementioned. In  
77 order to assess the risk for animals and consequently also humans, the Croatian Food Agency  
78 conducted the study entitled "A study of incidence of mycotoxins in feedstuffs and feed  
79 mixtures in Croatia" (HAH, 2012). The obtained results indicate frequent contamination with  
80 the analyzed mycotoxins, but, when it comes to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, at concentrations much lower  
81 than the maximum allowable concentrations defined under the Ordinance on undesirable  
82 substances in animal feed (OG 80/2010). Other mycotoxins (zearalenone, fumonisins,  
83 deoxynivalenol) were also analyzed; the determined concentrations were below those  
84 recommended by the European Commission.

85           Recommendations for continuous monitoring and analysis of raw materials and feed  
86 mixtures were issued under specific projects, as well as under the National residual monitoring  
87 plan and the National plan of feed inspections and monitoring. The analysis of specific animal  
88 feeds should be focused on mycotoxins to which these animals are particularly vulnerable.

89           Among various mycotoxins, aflatoxins are the most commonly documented, while  
90 ochratoxin A is an important food-borne contaminant causing nephrotoxicity and has been  
91 suspected to play a role in Balkan endemic nephropathy (Mally, Hard, & Dekant, 2007).  
92 Ochratoxin A is mainly produced by *Aspergillus ochraceus* (Duarte, Pena, & Lino, 2010),  
93 citrinin by *Penicillium citrinum*, while *P. verrucosum* produces both of the toxins (Sweeney &  
94 Dobson, 1998). Because *P. verrucosum* is one of the major producers of ochratoxin A in  
95 cereals, it is not surprising that both mycotoxins often occur together, although citrinin  
96 presence has been reported much less frequently.

97           Although citrinin-producing fungal strains have been isolated from dry-cured meat  
98 products suggesting that the presence of citrinin in such products is to be expected, no data on  
99 citrinin content in dry-cured meat products can be found across literature. While maximum  
100 allowed levels (MRLs) for various mycotoxins have been set for a number of foodstuffs and

101 feedstuffs, the occurrence of citrinin has insofar failed to be regulated either under these or  
102 any other Regulations enforced by the European Union (EU). No MRLs for citrinin in food  
103 and feed have been reported by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), as well (FAO,  
104 2004). However, given that it co-occurs with ochratoxin A, citrinin might also be controlled  
105 indirectly, that is to say, within the legal frame already set for ochratoxin A.

106 Therefore, the aim of this study was to monitor the natural occurrence of moulds and  
107 mycotoxins - aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), ochratoxin A (OTA) and citrinin (CIT) - in different types  
108 of fermented meat products coming from Croatian individual producers and the meat industry.  
109 For the sake of AFB<sub>1</sub> and OTA determination, competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent  
110 assay (ELISA) was validated and implemented, whereas the concentration of CIT was  
111 determined using high performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection  
112 (HPLC-FLD).

113

114

## 115 **2. Materials and Methods**

116

### 117 **2.1 Samples**

118 The experiment involved 90 samples of fermented meat products, divided into three groups:  
119 game sausages (n=15), semi-dry sausages (n=25) and fermented dry-meat products (n=50).  
120 Each sample was collected from a different individual producer or Croatian meat industrial  
121 facilities. The analyses were performed on samples of game sausages made from rabbit, wild  
122 boar, deer, roe deer and mixed (wild boar, deer and domestic pig) meat, as well as on samples  
123 of semi-dry sausages which included the grill sausage, the Kranjska sausage, the Slavonian  
124 sausage, the Zagorje sausage, the homemade garlic sausage and the samples of domestic and  
125 industrial dry-meat products (domestic Slavonian sausage, homemade mixed (pork and beef)  
126 sausage, homemade dry Zagorje sausage, winter sausage, Srijemska sausage, Čajna sausage,  
127 Kulenova Seka, Panona, Milanska sausage, prosciutto, Buđola sausage).

128 The samples were prepared according to the traditional methods commonly used in Croatia.  
129 All samples were cut into small pieces, homogenized in a kitchen blender (Moulinex) and  
130 stored at 4 °C prior to mould and mycotoxin isolation and identification.

131

### 132 **2.2 Isolation and identification of moulds**

133 Ten grams of each sample were diluted using 90 ml of sterile saline solution (0.7%-NaCl,  
134 0.05% Tween 80) and homogenized for 15 min using a laboratory shaker. Decimal dilutions

135 of the sample were further prepared in accordance with the governmental regulation HRN EN  
136 ISO 6887-1: 2004. The presence of moulds was detected in full line with the procedures set  
137 under the HRN ISO 13681:2001 standard.

138 Morphologically different mould colonies grown on Sabouraud agar (Biolife, Italy) after a 5-  
139 day cultivation at 25 °C, were examined under 100/1.25 magnification using an Olympus  
140 microscope and the immersion oil (Samson, Noonim, Meijer, Houbraken, Frisvad, & Varga  
141 2007).

142

### 143 **2.3 Determination of AFB<sub>1</sub> and OTA**

144

#### 145 *Extraction procedures*

146 AFB<sub>1</sub> analyses: To 100 g of the homogenized samples, 10 mL of citric acid solution (20%)  
147 was added, mixed thoroughly and then supplemented with 20 g of diatomaceous earth. After  
148 the addition of 200 mL of dichlormethane, the sample was stirred and left to be shaken for 30  
149 minutes. In the subsequent course, the sample was filtrated, collected into a flask containing  
150 10 g of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtrated again. Two mL of the filtrate were evaporated to dryness and  
151 reconstituted in 5 mL of 100%-methanol. In the next step, 25 mL of the phosphate buffered  
152 saline (pH 7.4) were added so as to obtain the total sample volume of 30 mL. The latter  
153 volume was applied on an immunoaffinity column Aflaprep<sup>®</sup> R-Biopharm (Darmstadt,  
154 Germany). One mL of the filtrate was evaporated, with the residues being subsequently  
155 dissolved in 1,440 µL of methanol and 360 µL of the distilled water.

156 OTA analyses: To 1 g of a sample, 0.5 mL of 1 M-H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 3 mL of ethyl acetate were  
157 added and mixed vigorously. After the centrifugation (1 min, 2000 rpm) at the room  
158 temperature, the supernatant (ethyl acetate) was transferred and 3 mL of ethyl acetate were  
159 added again. After mixing and centrifugation, ethyl acetate layers were combined and 3 mL of  
160 0.65 M-NaHCO<sub>3</sub> were added, vortexed and continued to be mixed for another 15 min. After  
161 the centrifugation (5 min, 2000 rpm), 1 mL of the lower aqueous phase was transferred and  
162 heated in a water bath for 3 min at 100 °C. The content was then shaken and cooled; after  
163 cooling, 4 mL of the distilled water were added. Aliquots were diluted using 0.13 M-NaHCO<sub>3</sub>  
164 (1+1 mL).

165

#### 166 *ELISA-based analyses*

167 Competitive ELISA tests were performed using Ridascreen<sup>®</sup> AFB<sub>1</sub> and OTA ELISA kits  
168 provided by R-Biopharm (Darmstadt, Germany). Each kit contains a microtiter plate with 96

169 wells coated with capture antibodies, six standard AFB<sub>1</sub>/OTA solutions, peroxidase-  
170 conjugated AFB<sub>1</sub>/OTA, the substrate/chromogen (urea peroxide/tetramethylbenzidine), a stop  
171 reagent (1 N-sulphuric acid), the dilution buffer and the washing buffer (10 mM-phosphate  
172 buffer, pH=7.4). The analyses were conducted as described in the package insert provided by  
173 the manufacturer and made use of a ChemWell 2910 auto-analyzer (Awareness Technology,  
174 Inc., USA). Each sample was analysed in duplicate. After the completion of the above-  
175 described procedures and the addition of 100 µL of the stop reagent, absorbances were  
176 measured at 450 nm. Concentrations of both analytes were calculated from six-point  
177 calibration curves and corrected for recovery values.

178

#### 179 *Validation of the ELISA assay*

180 OTA and AFB<sub>1</sub> standards used for sample fortification within the frame of the validation  
181 process were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Standard solutions  
182 employed for both analytes and with both methods were prepared as an aqueous stock and  
183 working solution in concentrations of 10,000 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL, respectively, and were  
184 stored at +4 °C until analyses. All other chemicals and solvents were of an analytical and  
185 HPLC grade, respectively.

186 For both analytical parameters, the limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification  
187 (LOQ) were calculated from the mean value of ten control meat product sample runs plus  
188 two- and ten-fold standard deviation, respectively. The recoveries were determined at four  
189 different levels (six replicates per concentration level) by virtue of spiking the control samples  
190 with the standard working solution correspondent to the assessed content levels. As regards  
191 the determination of an intermediate precision, the same steps were repeated on two  
192 additional occasions within a month using two different ELISA kit lots, but under the same  
193 analytical conditions.

194

## 195 **2.4 Determination of CIT**

196

### 197 *Extraction and purification*

198 The procedures used with CIT extraction were based on the proposed Vicam CitriTest HPLC  
199 Instruction Manual. Ten g of the ground sample were placed into a blender jar and  
200 supplemented with 50 ml of 70%-methanol. The blender jar was covered and mixed at high  
201 speed for a minute. The extract was then poured onto a fluted filter paper. The filtrate was  
202 collected into a clean vessel. Aliquots of a 1-mL filtered extract were transferred into another

203 clean vessel. The extract was diluted with 49 mL of 10 mM-phosphoric acid and mixed. The  
204 diluted extract was then poured into a clean vessel through a microfiber filter.  
205 Column chromatography: Ten mL of the extracted sample were passed through the column, 5  
206 ml of 10 mM-phosphoric acid was passed through the immunoaffinity columns to washed any  
207 remaining impurities and analyte than was eluted with 1 mL of the eluting solution  
208 (methanol:10 mM phosphoric acid, 70:30). The eluate was collected into a glass cuvette. The  
209 cuvette was vortexed and the sample was injected into a HPLC.

210

#### 211 *HPLC–FLD conditions*

212 The analysis made use of HPLC equipped with a FLD detector, produced by Shimadzu  
213 (Tokyo, Japan), with the employed columns being Waters Sunfire c18, Waters, USA (4.6 x 20  
214 mm, 2.5 $\mu$ m) and the corresponding guard column. The final solution was analyzed under the  
215 following conditions: mobile phase- water : 0.1%-phosphoric acid : acetonitrile (60:40); flow  
216 rate 1.0 mL /min; FLD detector's wavelength set at 350 nm-excitation and 500 nm- emission;  
217 sample injection volume 50  $\mu$ L; total running time 5 min.

218

#### 219 *Validation of the applied method*

220 The performance characteristics descriptive of the method in use were established by virtue of  
221 single-laboratory validation procedures. To that effect, standard CIT solution (Sigma-Aldrich,  
222 Steinheim, Germany), CIT-free sausages and sausages spiked with this mycotoxin were used.  
223 The method was evaluated for its linearity, selectivity, trueness, precision, LOD & LOQ and  
224 ruggedness. The linearity was studied within 0.002-0.1 mg/L range. The calibration curve was  
225 plotted at six levels based on independent replicates. The trueness of the method was  
226 investigated based on the mean recoveries obtained for the spiked samples' replicates at each  
227 of the studied levels. The precision estimated under repeatability conditions and within the  
228 reproducibility range, was expressed as a relative standard deviation descriptive of the spiked  
229 samples' replicates at each of the studied levels. LOD and LOQ were established using  
230 standard solutions. The selectivity was determined based on the profile of chromatograms  
231 obtained for standard CIT solution, CIT-free and CIT-spiked sausage.  
232 The ruggedness of the method was tested by virtue of registering small changes witnessed  
233 within the extraction times (0.5 min and 5 min).

234

#### 235 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

236

237 Statistical analysis was carried out using the statistical package STATISTICA 10.0 for  
238 Windows (Stat-Soft, Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA) and embraced only data on mycotoxin- positive  
239 samples. The effects of game meat and the type of game sausages on OTA concentrations  
240 were assessed using two factor-Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Differences in OTA  
241 concentrations across five types of semi-dry sausages and seven types of dry-meat products  
242 were checked using Student's t-test. The differences were considered to be significant  
243 whenever the P-value was proven to be  $<0.05$ .

244

245

### 246 **3. Results & Discussion**

247

248 Based on the results of the nation-wide survey conducted by the Croatian Food  
249 Agency (HAH, 2011) on a representative adult population sample, the consumption of  
250 fermented meat products witnessed in Croatia amounts to 52.23 g/day (consumers only).  
251 Whereas it has been proven that the presence of moulds and mycotoxins in foodstuffs (meat,  
252 eggs, milk and dairy products) is a justified cause for concern, the purpose of this study was to  
253 determine the concentration of AFB<sub>1</sub>, OTA and CIT in fermented meat products' samples.  
254 Keeping in mind that meat products are not the only source of mycotoxins that can be found  
255 in a daily diet (but also nuts, spices, dried fruits, wine, coffee), total dietary mycotoxin intake  
256 could likely be underestimated.

257 The initial quantitative screening method used to determine the presence of AFB<sub>1</sub> and  
258 OTA in the investigated samples was ELISA assay. LOD and LOQ estimated for the method  
259 were 0.65 µg/kg and 1.01 µg/kg for AFB<sub>1</sub>, and 0.51 µg/kg and 0.89 µg/kg for OTA,  
260 respectively. The validation procedure resulted in acceptable mean recoveries of 83.8% for  
261 AFB<sub>1</sub> and 86.1% for OTA, as well as in acceptable mean intermediate precision of 82.5% for  
262 AFB<sub>1</sub> and 85.5% for OTA; the same goes for the variation coefficients (CV<10%) (Table 1).  
263 Given the satisfactory validation results, ELISA was applied for the determination of AFB<sub>1</sub>  
264 and OTA in the analyzed meat product samples.

265 One of the main reasons for non-existence of CIT-targeted legislation, seen  
266 worldwide, is either a lack of suitable analytical methods for its routine determination or CIT  
267 instability in foodstuffs (Xu, Wang, Lee, Jia & Sung, 2003; Xu, Jia, Gu & Sung, 2006; EFSA  
268 2012). To date, HPLC has been successfully applied for the analysis of CIT in grains, fungal  
269 cultures, cheese, feeds, dietary supplement RMR and biological fluids (Wu, Kuo, Lee, Hsu &  
270 Pan 2011; EFSA 2012), where LODs as low as 0.1 µg/kg can be achieved. ELISA has been

271 reported to be employed with CIT detection in wheat, barley, maize, RMR, and other grain,  
272 with the pertaining LODs of 2 to 15,000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  (Hartl & Stenzel, 2007; Kononenko & Burkin,  
273 2007; Li, Wang, Zheng & Guo, 2010). The results of the validation of methodology employed  
274 with CIT presence analyses are shown in Table 2. The estimated LOD was 0.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , while  
275 the LOQ equalled to 1.0  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ .

276 The data presented in this study show that mycotoxins were detected in 64.44% of the  
277 90 samples under analysis. In all of the contaminated samples (64.44%), OTA revealed to  
278 be the predominant contaminant, while 10% of the samples were contaminated with  $\text{AFB}_1$   
279 and only 5.55% with CIT in concentrations above the LOQ. Mixed (OTA, CIT &  $\text{AFB}_1$ )  
280 contamination was detected in three samples (3.33%) only (Table 3).

281 Mycotoxin concentrations determined across the three groups of fermented meat  
282 products are shown in Tables 4-6.

283 The results obtained with game sausages under study are shown in Tables 3 and 4.  
284 OTA was detected in 93.33% of game sausage samples, with its concentration ranging from  
285  $<0.05$  to 3.07  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . In addition to OTA, CIT and  $\text{AFB}_1$  were found in one sample of wild  
286 boar sausage in concentrations of 1.0  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  and 1.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , respectively. OTA in the mean  
287 concentration of 2.84  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  was found in wild boar sausages, while the samples of rabbit  
288 sausages harboured OTA in the mean concentration of 2.27  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . Mixed sausages made  
289 from wild boar, deer and domestic pigs were shown to contain OTA in the mean  
290 concentration of 2.16  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . Deer and roe deer sausages were contaminated with OTA in  
291 concentrations below 2.00  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . ANOVA showed significant differences in OTA  
292 concentrations across various types of game sausages ( $P < 0.05$ ).

293 In the samples of game sausages, moulds in the outer and inner part were not  
294 detected. However, it is not clear whether OTA contamination occurred due to a carryover  
295 effect or probably due to an environmental contamination, given that during the winter season  
296 wild animals are more exposed to plants which may be contaminated with mycotoxins. Such  
297 contamination may also have occurred due to inadequate hygienic conditions in the  
298 manufacturing rooms. All samples were homemade. Game, as a representative of wildlife, is  
299 considered to be a suitable bio-indicator of environmental pollution. Game has a freedom to  
300 choose on what to feed, the feeding thereby being dependent on seasonal availability of  
301 certain types of food. Game feeds on a large territory and mainly lives much longer than  
302 domestic animals, whose nutrition is uniform and controlled.

303 In Croatia, fermented meat products have traditionally been manufactured in rural  
304 households and on family farms, so that many varieties of the same product are present on the

305 market. Traditional fermented sausages are mostly made from pork, pork and beef and pork  
306 back fat, with the addition of salt and specific spice mixture (ground black pepper, minced red  
307 pepper, garlic). The mixture is filled into natural swine casings, smoked and ripened at lower  
308 temperatures (Kožačinski, Zdolec, Hadžiosmanović, Cvrtila, Filipović & Majić, 2006; Babić  
309 et al., 2011).

310 The data presented in Tables 3 and 5 show that, out of 25 samples of semi-dry  
311 sausages, even 84% were positive for OTA. AFB<sub>1</sub> was detected in 2 (8%) and CIT in only one  
312 (4%) sample. Contamination of semi-dry sausages with all three mycotoxins was not proven.  
313 The highest level of OTA was determined in the samples of Kranjska sausage (3.28 µg/kg),  
314 while the mould of *Penicillium* genus and OTA in the highest mean concentration of 2.15  
315 µg/kg were detected in the Slavonian sausage. In semi-dry sausages, mean concentrations of  
316 OTA ranged from 0.79 to 2.15 µg/kg.

317 Out of 5 types of semi-dry sausages under analysis, only Kranjska sausage, homemade  
318 garlic sausage and the Slavonian sausage did not statistically significantly differ in their mean  
319 OTA concentrations ( $P > 0.05$ ).

320 In Europe and Croatia, moulds of the *Penicillium* genus are used as typical starter cultures for  
321 dry sausage production. These species are surface-inoculated because they are thought to  
322 improve sausage aroma, texture and appearance. Whenever a mould growth is considered  
323 desirable, the manufacturing process must run at the strict temperature and under the  
324 controlled humidity. Moulds in fermented meat products may not only affect their  
325 organoleptic properties, but also produce mycotoxins and pose as a potential health hazard to  
326 consumers. Therefore, the exposure of human consumers may also be the result of mycotoxin  
327 synthesis during the product ripening stage. Indeed, several studies have shown that mould  
328 species belonging to *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* genera can be isolated from meat products  
329 such as ripened sausages or dry-cured ham (Rodríguez, Rodríguez, Martín, Nuñez &  
330 Córdoba, 2012a; Iacumin et al., 2009; Tabuc, Bailly, Bailly, Querin, & Guerre, 2004; Asefa et  
331 al., 2011). This specific mycoflora enables the attainment of the desirable aroma and flavour  
332 of the product, but is usually complex and composed of many fungal species, out of which  
333 several may be toxigenic, at least *in vitro*. Therefore, contamination with a toxigenic strain  
334 may lead to mycotoxin synthesis and its accumulation in the final product (Bailly, Tabuc,  
335 Querin, & Guerre, 2005). In this study, moulds were found in only 4 (4.44%) samples of  
336 semi-dry sausages and dry-meat products (Table 3). The reason behind the low number of  
337 mould-contaminated samples could also be the fact that industrially-made sausages were  
338 unanimously vacuumed and randomly picked from local shops. In addition, bearing in mind

339 that domestic sausage-making procedures are not standardised and are uncontrolled, it is  
340 reasonable to assume that moulds possibly grown on a sausage casing surface could easily be  
341 washed off while rinsing the sausage prior to its use.

342 The data presented in Table 3 show that mould contamination was found in an outer  
343 layer of one sample of the winter salami and Prosciutto. The number of Croatian meat plants  
344 inoculating mould spores on sausage surfaces within the frame of the winter salami  
345 production boils down to one, while other meat plants do not resort to this method. Also,  
346 contamination was found in an inner part of one sample of mixed (pork and beef) homemade  
347 sausage and Slavonian sausage. Although moulds were isolated, mycotoxin concentrations  
348 found in these samples were below the LOQ, which is in agreement with the research output  
349 of many authors, who have stated that growth of moulds does not necessarily indicate the  
350 presence of a corresponding mycotoxin (Mateo, Gil-Serna, Patino, & Jiménez, 2011;  
351 Rodríguez, Rodríguez, Luque, Martín, & Córdoba, 2012b). In 27 samples of dry-meat  
352 products, no mycotoxin contamination was found. OTA was detected in 54% samples of dry-  
353 meat products, in the mean concentration range of 0.84 to 3.51 µg/kg. OTA was found in both  
354 homemade and commercial samples, although at different levels. The maximum OTA  
355 concentration in winter salami was 7.83 µg/kg, while in prosciutto it amounted to 1.03 µg/kg  
356 (Table 6). The mean concentration of OTA in the winter salami was significantly different  
357 from that found in all other types of dry-meat products ( $P < 0.05$ ).

358 These data are in agreement with those recently reported by Dall'Asta et al. (2010),  
359 who investigated the occurrence of OTA in dry sausages from northern Italy. CIT was  
360 detected in salami ( $n=3$ ) purchased only from the market, while AFB<sub>1</sub> was detected in 2  
361 homemade and 4 commercial samples. Two out of seven winter salami samples contained  
362 CIT at concentrations of 1.0 and 1.3 µg/kg, respectively. Whenever CIT was found in a  
363 sample, it always co-occurred with OTA. In two dry sausages (winter salami and Čajna  
364 salami) CIT was found in combination with OTA and AFB<sub>1</sub> (Table 3). The highest AFB<sub>1</sub>  
365 concentrations of 2.7 and 3.0 µg/kg were found in the samples of winter and Čajna salami,  
366 respectively. The fact that commercial sausage samples (winter and Čajna salami) contained  
367 the highest mycotoxin concentrations, underlines the importance of hygienic environmental  
368 control in the ripening plants.

369 The results obtained in a total of 90 samples showed the risk of AFB<sub>1</sub> and CIT  
370 contamination of fermented meat products to be minimal, generally due to the low rate of  
371 carryover of the examined mycotoxins to the edible tissues, given that the primary target of  
372 AFB<sub>1</sub> is the liver, while that of CIT is the kidney. In muscles, only low levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> and

373 CIT can be found, often below the detection limits of the methods used (Beaver et al., 1990;  
374 Bintvihok, Thiengnin, Doi, & Kumagai, 2002; Abramson, Mills, Marquardt, & Frohlich,  
375 1997). This can be explained by a highly intense AFB<sub>1</sub> liver metabolism and weak CIT  
376 absorption after an oral administration, combined with its quick elimination through urine and  
377 faeces (Hirano, Adachi, Bintvihok, Ishibashi, & Kumazawa, 1992; Stubblefield, Honstead, &  
378 Shotwell, 1991; Phillips, Berndt, & Hayes, 1979). Moreover, the use of spices contaminated  
379 with toxigenic mould strains may also represent a source of secondary mycotoxin  
380 contamination of the final product (Refai, Niazi, Aziz, & Khafaga, 2003). The data presented  
381 in this study show OTA to be the dominant contaminating mycotoxin. Although OTA was  
382 detected in all three types of products in different percentage shares, the differences were not  
383 statistically significant ( $P>0.05$ ).

384 Among farmed animals, pigs are known to be particularly sensitive to OTA  
385 accumulation, with OTA residues resting in several edible organs (predominantly kidneys, but  
386 also liver, muscle and fat) (Gareis & Wolf, 2000; Lusky, Tesch, & Gobel, 1993; Pietri,  
387 Bertuzzi, Gualla, & Piva, 2006). Therefore, the consumption of meat contaminated with OTA  
388 has also been suspected to represent a source of exposure for humans (JECFA, 2001). Neither  
389 the European Commission nor Croatia has set the maximum allowed and the maximum  
390 recommended levels for mycotoxins in meat or other animal products. However, some  
391 countries have enforced OTA MRLs, for example Denmark (of 10 µg/kg in pig kidney),  
392 Estonia (of 10 µg/kg in pig liver), Romania (of 5 µg/kg in pig kidney, liver and meat) and  
393 Slovakia (of 5 µg/kg in meat and milk). Other countries have developed guidelines for  
394 recommended maximum OTA levels, for example Italy (pig meat and derived products, 1  
395 µg/kg) (Duarte et al., 2010).

396

397

#### 398 **4. Conclusions**

399

400 Although EFSA (EFSA-Q-2003-039, 2004) has outlined that the real risk of mycotoxin  
401 contamination of meat is negligible, the results obtained within the frame of this study show  
402 that, even though 96% of the samples were mould-free, the samples of all three types of meat  
403 products contain mycotoxins. This may be attributed to contamination arising on the grounds  
404 of carryover effect or the addition of spices contaminated with mycotoxins. If there is a risk of  
405 mycotoxin residues presence in animal products such as meat, eggs, milk and milk products,  
406 the situation in the field should be constantly monitored so as to protect public health. We

407 should always keep in mind that mycotoxins can be a problem, particularly since there is no  
408 sensory mycotoxin contamination warning. Therefore, further research on mycotoxin  
409 occurrence in meat and meat products is necessary.

410

411

## 412 **5. References**

413

414 Abramson, D., Mills, J. T., Marquardt, R. R., & Frohlich, A. A. (1997). Mycotoxins in fungal  
415 contaminated samples of animal feed from western Canada. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary*  
416 *Research*, 61, 49–52.

417

418 Allcroft, R., & Carnaghan, R. B. A. (1963). Groundnut toxicity: An examination for toxin in  
419 human food products from animals fed toxic groundnut meal. *Veterinary Research*, 75, 259–  
420 263.

421

422 Asefa, D. T., Kure, C. F., Gjerde, R. O., Langsrud, S., Omer, M. K., Nesbakken, T., et al.  
423 (2011). A HACCP plan for mycotoxigenic hazards associated with dry-cured meat production  
424 processes. *Food Control*, 22, 831-837.

425

426 Babić, I., Markov, K., Kovačević, D., Trontel, A., Slavica, A., Đugum, .J, Čvek, D., Svetec,  
427 I.K., Posavec, S. & Frece, J. (2011). Identification and characterization of potential  
428 autochthonous starter cultures from a Croatian “brand” product “slavonski kulen”. *Meat*  
429 *Science*, 88, 517-524.

430

431 Bailly, J. D., Tabuc, C., Querin, A., & Guerre, P. (2005). Production and stability of patulin,  
432 ochratoxin A, citrinin and cyclopiazonic acid on dry cured ham. *Journal of Food*  
433 *Protection*,68, 1516–1520.

434

435 Beaver, R. W., Wilson, D. M., James, M. A., Haydon, K. D., Colvin, B. M., Sangster, L. T.,  
436 Pikul, A. H., & Groopman, J. D. (1990). Distribution of aflatoxins in tissues of growing pigs  
437 fed an aflatoxin contaminated diet amended with a high affinity aluminosilicate sorbent.  
438 *Veterinary and Human Toxicology*, 32(1), 16–18.

439

- 440 Bintvihok, A., Thiengnin, S., Doi, K., & Kumagai, S. (2002). Residues of aflatoxins in the  
441 liver, muscle and eggs of domestic fowls. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 64,1037–  
442 1039.
- 443
- 444 Dall'Asta, C., Galaverna, G., Bertuzzi, T., Moseriti, A., Pietri A., Dossena, A., & Marchelli  
445 R. (2010). Occurrence of ochratoxin A in raw ham muscle, salami and dry-cured ham from  
446 pigs fed with contaminated diet. *Food Chemistry*, 120, 978–983.
- 447
- 448 Dashti, B, Al-Hamli, S., Alomirah, H., Al-Zenki, S., Abbas, A.B., & Sawaya, W. (2009).  
449 Levels of aflatoxin M1 in milk, cheese consumed in Kuwait and occurrence of total aflatoxin  
450 in local and imported animal feed. *Food Control*, 20, 686-690.
- 451
- 452 Duarte, S.C., Pena, A., & Lino, C.M. (2010). Ochratoxin A in Portugal: A Review to Assess  
453 Human Exposure. *Toxins*, 2(6), 1225–1249.
- 454
- 455 EFSA-Q-2003-039, R. N. (2004). Opinion of the scientific panel on contaminants in food  
456 chain on a request from the commission related to ochratoxin A (OTA) as undesirable  
457 substance in animal feed. In EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). *The EFSA Journal*,  
458 101, 1–36.
- 459
- 460 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), (2012). Scientific Opinion on the risks for public  
461 and animal health related to the presence of citrinin in food and feed. *The EFSA Journal*  
462 10(3), 260, 11pp
- 463
- 464 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization), 2004. Worldwide regulations for mycotoxins in food and  
465 feed in 2003. FAO Food and Nutrition paper 81. Food and Agriculture organization of the United  
466 Nations, Rome, Italy.
- 467
- 468 Gareis, M., & Wolff, J. (2000). Relevance of mycotoxin contaminated feed for farming  
469 animals and carry over of mycotoxins in food of animal origin. *Mycoses*, 43, 79-83.
- 470
- 471 HAH (Croatian Food Agency), (2011) National Food Consumption survey, unpublished data  
472
- 473 HAH (Croatian Food Agency), (2012) Mycotoxins in Feed. *Scientific opinion, adopted by*  
474 *HAH Scientific Committee 23 October 2012* <http://www.hah.hr/english/panels.php>

475

476 Hartl, A., & Stenzel, W.R. (2007). Development of a method for the determination of citrinin  
477 in barley, rye and wheat by solid phase extraction on aminopropyl columns and HPLC-FLD.  
478 *Mycotoxin Research*, 23, 127-131.

479

480 Hirano, K., Adachi, Y., Bintvihok, A., Ishibashi, S., & Kumazawa, N. H. (1992). An  
481 improved method for extraction and cleanup of aflatoxin B1 from liver. *Journal of Veterinary*  
482 *Medicine and Science*, 54, 567.

483

484 HRN ISO 13681:2001 Meat and meat products -- Enumeration of yeasts and moulds --  
485 Colony-count technique (ISO 13681:1995)

486

487 HRN ISO 6887-1: 2004 Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs -- Preparation of test  
488 samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination -- Part 1:  
489 General rules for the preparation of the initial suspension and decimal dilutions (ISO 6887-  
490 1:1999; EN ISO 6887-1:1999)

491

492 Iacumin, L., Chiesa, L., Boscolo, D., Manzano, M., Cantoni, C., Orlic, S., et al. (2009).  
493 Moulds and ochratoxin A on surfaces of artisanal and industrial dry sausages. *Food*  
494 *Microbiology*, 26(1), 65–70.

495

496 Iha, M.H., Barbosa, C.B., & Okada, I.A. (2011). Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in dairy products  
497 in Brazil. *Food Control*, 22 (129), 1971-1974.

498

499 JECFA. (2001). Safety evaluation of certain mycotoxins in food. Fifty-six report. WHO  
500 Technical Report Series, Geneva, 47.

501

502 Kamkar, A. (2006): A study of the occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in Iranian Feta cheese. *Food*  
503 *Control* 17, 768-775.

504

505 Kononenko. G.P., & Burkin. A.A. (2007). Immunoenzyme method for the determination of  
506 citrinin. *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 62, 691-696.

507

- 508 Kozačinski, L., Zdolec, N., Hadžiosmanović, M., Cvrtila, Ž., Filipović, I., & Majić, T. (2006).  
509 Microbial flora of the Croatian traditionally fermented sausage. *Archiv für*  
510 *Lebensmittelhygiene*, 57 (9/10), 141-147.
- 511
- 512 Li Y-N., Wang Y-Y., Zheng Y-Q., & Guo Y-H. (2010). Preparation and Characterization of  
513 The High Specificity Monoclonal Antibodies Against Citrinin. *Progress in Biochemistry and*  
514 *Biophysics*, 37, 1248-1253.
- 515
- 516 Lusky, K., Tesch, D., & Gobel, R. (1993). Influence of the mycotoxin ochratoxin A on animal  
517 health and formation of residues in pigs and different types of sausages derived from these  
518 animals. *Archiv Fur Lebensmittelhygiene*, 44, 131-134.
- 519
- 520 Mally, A., Hard, G.C., & Dekant, W. (2007). Ochratoxin A as a potential etiologic factor in  
521 endemic nephropathy: Lessons from toxicity studies in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*,  
522 45 (11), 2254-2260.
- 523
- 524 Markov, K., Frece, J., Čvek, D., Lovrić, N., & Delaš, F. (2010). Aflatoxin M1 in raw milk and  
525 binding of aflatoxin by lactic acid bacteria. *Mljekarstvo*, 60(4), 244 – 251.
- 526
- 527 Mateo, E.M., Gil-Serna, J., Patino, B., & Jiménez, M. (2011). Aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in  
528 stored barley grain in Spain and impact of PCR-based strategies to assess the occurrence of  
529 aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. *International Journal of Food*  
530 *Microbiology*, 149(2), 118-26.
- 531
- 532 Ordinance on undesirable substances in animal feed (2010). Pravilnik o nepoželjnim tvarima  
533 u hrani za životinje N.N. 80/2010.
- 534
- 535 Phillips, R. D., Berndt, W. O., & Hayes, A. W. (1979). Distribution and excretion of (14C)  
536 citrinin in rats. *Toxicology*, 12, 285–298.
- 537
- 538 Pietri, A., Bertuzzi, T., Gualla, A., & Piva, G. (2006). Occurrence of ochratoxin a in raw ham  
539 muscles and in pork products from Northern Italy. *Italian Journal of Food Science*, 18(1), 99–  
540 106.
- 541

- 542 Pleadin, J., Sokolović, M., Perši, N., Zadavec, M., Jaki, V., & Vulić, A. (2012).  
543 Contamination of maize with deoxynivalenol and zearalenone in Croatia. *Food control*, 28  
544 (1), 94-98.
- 545
- 546 Pleadin, J., Vahčić, N., Perši, N., Ševelj, D., Markov K., & Frece, J. (2013). Fusarium  
547 mycotoxins' occurrence in cereals harvested from Croatian fields. *Food control*, 32, (1), 49-  
548 54.
- 549
- 550 Refai, M.K., Niazi, Z.M., Aziz, N.H., & Khafaga, N.E. (2003). Incidence of aflatoxin B1 in  
551 the Egyptian cured meat basterma and control by gamma-irradiation. *Nahrung*, 47(6), 377-  
552 382.
- 553
- 554 Rodríguez, A., Rodríguez, M., Martín, A., Nuñez, F., & Córdoba, J. J. (2012a). Evaluation of  
555 hazard of aflatoxin B1, ochratoxin A and patulin production in dry-cured ham and early  
556 detection of producing moulds by qPCR. *Food Control*, 27, 118-126.
- 557
- 558 Rodríguez, A., Rodríguez, M., Luque, M.I., Martín, A., & Córdoba, J.J. (2012b). Real-time  
559 PCR assays for detection and quantification of aflatoxin-producing molds in foods. *Food*  
560 *Microbiology*, 31(1), 89-99.
- 561
- 562 Samson, R.A., Noonim, P., Meijer, M., Houbraken, J., Frisvad, J.C., & Varga, J. (2007).  
563 Diagnostic tools to identify black aspergilla. *Studies in Mycology*, 59, 129-145.
- 564
- 565 Stubblefield, R. D., Honstead, J. P., & Shotwell, O. L. (1991). An analytical survey  
566 of aflatoxins in tissues from swine grown in regions reporting 1988 aflatoxin contaminated  
567 corn. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*, 74, 897.
- 568
- 569 Sweeney, M.J., & Dobson, A.D. (1998). Mycotoxin production by *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and  
570 *Penicillium* species. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 43(3), 141-158.
- 571
- 572 Tabuc, C., Bailly, J. D., Bailly, S., Querin, A., & Guerre, P. (2004). Toxigenic potential of  
573 fungal mycoflora isolated from dry cured meat products: preliminary study. *Revue de*  
574 *Médecine Vétérinaire*, 156, 287-291.
- 575

576 Wu, C.L., Kuo, Y.H., Lee, C.L., Hsu, Y.W., & Pan T.M. (2011). Synchronous high-  
577 performance liquid chromatography with a photodiode array detector and mass spectrometry  
578 for the determination of citrinin, monascidin, ankaflavin, and the lactone and acid forms of  
579 monacolin K in red mold rice. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*  
580 *International*, 94, 179-190.

581

582 Xu, B.J., Wang, Q.J., Lee, J.H., Jia, X.Q., & Sung, C.K. (2003). HPLC analysis of citrinin in  
583 red yeast rice. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 12, 376-380.

584

585 Xu, B.J., Jia, X.Q., Gu, L.J., & Sung, C.K. (2006). Review on the qualitative and  
586 quantitative analysis mycotoxin citrinin. *Food Control*, 17, 271-285.

587 Acknowledgment

588 The authors are grateful to the Croatian Food Agency and the Ministry of Science, Education  
589 and Sports of the Republic Croatia (Project Grant: 058-0582184-0432 "Mycotoxins in food  
590 and feed in the territory of the Republic of Croatia") for the financial support allocated to this  
591 research.

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

**Table 1:** The results of the validation of methodology applied with OTA and AFB<sub>1</sub> detection

Analyte	LOD	LOQ	Spiked concentration (µg/kg)	Recovery (%)	CV (%)	Intermediate precision (%)	CV (%)
AFB <sub>1</sub>	0.65	1.01	1.5	78.7	4.5	76.8	6.3
			2.0	82.6	5.6	80.3	7.2
			2.5	85.3	6.1	82.6	8.4
			3.0	88.7	7.2	90.4	9.5
OTA	0.51	0.89	1.0	80.6	3.9	81.3	5.1
			1.5	84.6	4.5	82.5	7.7
			2.0	87.9	5.9	85.7	9.1
			2.5	91.4	6.7	92.5	9.8

**Table 2:** The results of the validation of methodology applied with CIT detection

Parameter		Obtained result	
Linearity		k=0.9998	
Trueness		93.5%	
Precision		RSD	9.39%
LOD		0.5 µg/kg	
LOQ		1 µg/kg	
Selectivity		satisfactory	
Ruggedness (extraction time)	0.5 min	20.5%	
	5 min	RSD	19.8%

**Table 3:** The occurrence of moulds, OTA, CIT and AFB<sub>1</sub> in fermented meat product

	Game sausages	Semi-dry sausages	Dry meat products	Total
<b>No. of samples</b>	15	25	50	90
<b>Positive (%)</b>	93.33	84	54	64.44
<b>Positive / total</b>				
OTA	14/15	21/25	23/50	58/90
CIT	1/15	1/25	3/50	3/50
AFB <sub>1</sub>	1/15	2/25	6/50	6/50
OTA + CIT	1/15	1/25	3/50	3/50
OTA+CIT+AFB <sub>1</sub>	1/15	0/25	2/50	2/50
<b>Moulds</b>	nd	1/25 <sup>a</sup>	3/50 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	4/90

nd – not detected

<sup>a</sup> Slavonian sausage – *Penicillium* sp. was isolated

<sup>b</sup> Winter salami - *Penicillium* sp. was isolated

<sup>c</sup> Prosciutto - *Penicillium* sp. was isolated

<sup>d</sup> Homemade mixed sausage (pork and beef) - *Penicillium* sp. and *Aspergillus* sp. were isolated

**Table 4:** Concentrations of OTA, CIT and AFB<sub>1</sub> in game sausages

Samples of sausages	No. of samples	Range of value (µg/kg)		
		OTA	CIT	AFB <sub>1</sub>
Rabbit	3	2.21 – 2.37	<1.0	<1.0
Wild boar	3	2.70 – 3.07	<1.0 - 1.0	<1.0 – 1.5
Deer	3	1.86 – 2.03	<1.0	<1.0
Roe deer	3	<0.05 – 1.37	<1.0	<1.0
Mixed sausage (wild boar, deer and pork)	3	1.55 – 2.71	<1.0	<1.0

**Table 5:** Concentrations of OTA, CIT and AFB<sub>1</sub> in semi-dry sausages

Samples of sausages	No. of samples	Range of value (µg/kg)		
		OTA	CIT	AFB <sub>1</sub>
Grill sausage	7	1.51 – 1.86	<1.0	<1.0 - 1.1
Kranjska sausage	4	<0.05 – 3.28	<1.0	<1.0
Slavonian sausage	4	2.03 – 2.31	<1.0	<1.0
Zagorska sausage	5	1.23 – 1.62	<1.0	<1.0 – 1.0
Homemade garlic sausage	5	<0.05 – 2.12	<1.0	<1.0

**Table 6:** Concentrations of OTA, CIT and AFB<sub>1</sub> in dry-meat products

Samples of sausages	No. of samples	Range of value (µg/kg)		
		OTA	CIT	AFB <sub>1</sub>
Domestic slavonian sausage	3	2.03 – 2.31	<1.0	<1.0 - 1.2
Homemade mixed sausage (pork and beef)	5	<0.05 – 4.05	<1.0	<1.0
Homemade dry Zagorje sausage	5	1.53 – 2.83	<1.0	<1.0 – 1.1
Winter salami	7.	<0.05 – 7.83	<1.0 – 1.3	<1.0 – 2.7
Srijemska salami	6	<0.05 – 3.28	<1.0	<1.0
Čajna salami	5	<0.05 – 4.71	<1.0 – 1.0	<1.0 – 3.0
kulenova seka	5	<0.05 – 1.68	<1.0	<1.0
Panona	3	<0.05	<1.0	<1.0
Milanska	3	<0.05	<1.0	<1.0
Prosciutto	3.	<0.05 – 1.49	<1.0	<1.0 – 1.7
Budola	5	<0.05	<1.0	<1.0